

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -87)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,373 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-87 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARIZONA			
AZ	4	19-00166	Pima, County of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
AZ	4	19-00167	Tucson, City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	19-00875	Winona County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
NH	1	19-00325	Strafford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NH	1	19-00326	Belknap County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NH	1	19-00328	Cheshire County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NH	1	19-00329	Belmont, NH, Town of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NH	1	19-00331	Claremont, NH, City of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NH	1	19-00332	Grafton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NH	1	19-00333	Rockingham County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NH	1	19-00334	Sullivan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
NORTH DAKOTA			
ND	3	19-00055	Cass County, North Dakota et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
OKLAHOMA EASTERN			
OKE	6	19-00100	Pittsburg County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 4/10/19
OKLAHOMA NORTHERN			
OKN	4	19-00171	City of Owasso v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 4/10/19
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			
OKW	5	19-00280	Yukon City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 4/10/19

WISCONSIN WESTERN

WIW

3

19-00229

Bad River Band of Lake Superior Chippewa v.
McKesson Corporation et al